arXiv:math/9911222v1 [math.QA] 27 Nov 1999

Generalizations of the Block Algebras [1]

Xiaoping Xu

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology

Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong[2]

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce two new families of infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras and a new family of infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras. These algebras can be viewed as generalizations of the Block algebras.

1 Introduction

Block [B] introduced certain analogues of the Zassenhaus algebras over a field with characteristic 0 and showed that they are simple under a certain condition. A family of infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras was constructed in [X2] as the Lie superalgebras induced by the Hamiltonian superoperators associated with certain Novikov-Poisson algebras (cf. [X1]). These algebras can be viewed as generalizations of the Block algebras. In the classification of Gel'fand-Dorfman bialgebras (equivalently, certain Hamiltonian pairs) whose Novikov algebraic structures are simple with an idempotent element, we found in [X3] new Lie algebras, which can also be viewed as generalizations of the Block algebras . In this paper, we shall present more general simple Lie superalgebras that can be viewed as generalizations of the Block algebras. One of our motivations of this paper is to understand the simplicity of the Lie superalgebras generated by certain quadratic conformal superalgebras (cf. [X3], [X5]). This is a subsequent paper to [X4].

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with characteristic 0. All the vector spaces are assumed over $\mathbb{F}$. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers, by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$.

Let Γ be a nonzero torsion-free abelian group. Moreover, we also view Γ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Suppose that $\phi(\cdot,\cdot) : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{F}$ is a skew-symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form and $\varphi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{F}$ is an

---

[1] 1991 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 17B 20.

[2] Research supported by Hong Kong Research Grants Council Competitive Earmarked Research Grant HKUST709/96P.

additive group homomorphism. Let $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ be a vector space with a basis $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. The following Lie bracket on $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is given by Block [B]:

$$[x^\alpha, x^\beta]_B = (\phi(\alpha,\beta) + \varphi(\alpha-\beta))x^{\alpha+\beta} \qquad \text{for } \alpha,\beta \in \Gamma, \tag{1.1}$$

where either $\varphi \equiv 0$ or there exists another additive group homomorphism $\varphi_1 : \Gamma \to \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\phi(\alpha,\beta) = \varphi(\alpha)\varphi_1(\beta) - \varphi(\beta)\varphi_1(\alpha) \qquad \text{for } \alpha,\beta \in \Gamma. \tag{1.2}$$

We call $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot]_B)$ a *Block algebra*. If $\varphi \equiv 0$ and $\mathrm{Rad}_\phi = \{0\}$, then $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot]_B)$ has the one-dimensional center $\mathbb{F}x^0$, and $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma/\mathbb{F}x^0$ is simple. When ϕ is given by (1.2),

$$\ker_\varphi \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_1} = \{0\} \text{ and } \varphi_1(\alpha) \neq 2 \text{ for } \alpha \in \ker_\varphi, \tag{1.3}$$

the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot]_B)$ is simple (cf. [B]). The case when the second condition in (1.3) fails was tackled by Dokovic and Zhao [DZ]. Some special cases of our generalized Lie algebras of Cartan type H in [X4] can be viewed as generalizations of $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot]_B)$ with $\varphi \equiv 0$. In this paper, we shall introduce three families of generalizations of the Block algebra when ϕ is given by (1.2).

Let n be a positive integer. We denote

$$\overline{1,n} = \{1,2,3,...,n\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Pick

$$\mathcal{J}_p \in \{\{0\}, \mathbb{N}\} \qquad \text{for } p \in \overline{1,n}. \tag{1.5}$$

Let $\{\varphi_p \mid p \in \overline{1,n}\}$ be n additive group homomorphisms from Γ to $\mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\varphi_p \not\equiv 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{J}_p = \{0\} \qquad \text{for } p \in \overline{1,n}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\bigcap_{p=1}^n \ker_{\varphi_p} = \{0\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Condition (1.7) implies that Γ is isomorphic to an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^n$.

Set

$$\vec{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{J}_n, \tag{1.8}$$

where the addition on $\vec{\mathcal{J}}$ is defined componentwisely. Moreover, we denote:

$$i_{[p]} = (0,..,\overset{p}{i},0,...,0) \qquad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{J}_p. \tag{1.9}$$

We assume that Γ is a torsion-free abelian group throughout this paper. We allow $\Gamma = \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be a vector space with a basis

$$\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \mid (\alpha,\vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}\}. \tag{1.10}$$

We define an algebraic operation $\cdot$ on $\mathcal{A}_n$ by:

$$x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}} = x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} \qquad \text{for } (\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}. \tag{1.11}$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_n$ forms a commutative associative algebra with an identity element $x^{0,\vec{0}}$, which will be simply denoted by 1 in the rest of this paper. Throughout this paper, the notion "$\cdot$" will be invisible in a product when the context is clear. For $p \in \overline{1,n}$, we define $\partial_p \in \operatorname{End} \mathcal{A}_n$ by

$$\partial_p(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = \varphi_p(\alpha)x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} + i_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[p]}} \tag{1.12}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}$, where we adopt the convention that if a notion is not defined but technically appears in an expression, we always treat it as zero; for instance, $x^{\alpha,-1_{[1]}} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. It can be verified that $\{\partial_p \mid p \in \overline{1,n}\}$ are derivations of $\mathcal{A}_n$.

Class I.

Let $n = 2$. We define the following algebraic operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_1$ on $\mathcal{A}_2$:

$$[u,v]_1 = \partial_1(u)\partial_2(v) - \partial_1(v)\partial_2(u) + u\partial_1(v) - \partial_1(u)v \qquad \text{for } u,v \in \mathcal{A}_2. \tag{1.13}$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}_2,[\cdot,\cdot]_1)$ forms a Lie algebra by (3.45) in [X3]. By (1.7), there exist at most one element $\sigma_1 \in \ker_{\varphi_1}$ and one element $\sigma_2 \in \ker_{\varphi_1}$ such that $\varphi_2(\sigma_1) = 1$ and $\varphi_2(\sigma_2) = 2$, respectively. If such a σ_1 exists, then $x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$ is a central element of $\mathcal{A}_2$. We treat $x^{\sigma_i,\vec{0}}$ as $0 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ when such σ_i do not exist. Form a quotient Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2/\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} \tag{1.14}$$

whose induced Lie bracket is still denoted by $[\cdot,\cdot]_1$ when the context is clear.

Theorem 1. *The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{B}_2,[\cdot,\cdot]_1)$ is simple if $\vec{\mathcal{J}} \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ or σ_2 does not exists.* If $\vec{\mathcal{J}} = \{\vec{0}\}$ and *σ_2 exists, then $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)} = [\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{B}_2]$ is simple and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2^{(1)} \oplus (\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_2,\vec{0}} + \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})$.*

The special case $\vec{\mathcal{J}} = \{\vec{0}\}$ of Theorem 1 is due to Block [B] and due to Dokovic and Zhao [DZ]. However, our proof is different from theirs. We also remark that if we allow $\partial_2 = 0$ (equivalently, (1.6) only holds for $p = 1$), then $(\mathcal{A}_2,[\cdot,\cdot])$ is a known rank-one simple

Lie algebra of generalized Witt type, which including the centerless Virasoro algebras as a special case.

Class II.

Let $n = 4$ and assume

$$\bigcap_{p\neq q\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q} \setminus \ker_{\varphi_p} \neq \emptyset \qquad \text{for } \ p \in \overline{1,4}. \tag{1.15}$$

Let

$$\alpha_0 \in \Gamma \setminus [(\ker_{\varphi_1} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_2}) \bigcup (\ker_{\varphi_3} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_4})] \tag{1.16}$$

be a fixed element such that

$$\varphi_p(\alpha_0) \in \varphi_p(\ker_{\varphi_3} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_4}), \ \ \varphi_q(\alpha_0) \in \varphi_q(\ker_{\varphi_1} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_2}) \tag{1.17}$$

for $p = 1, 2$ and $q = 3, 4$. We define the following algebraic operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_2$ on $\mathcal{A}_4$ by

$$\begin{aligned}[u,v]_2 \ = \ & x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}(\partial_1(u)\partial_2(v) - \partial_1(v)\partial_2(u)) + (\partial_3(u)\partial_4(v) - \partial_3(v)\partial_4(u)) \\ & +\varphi_3(\alpha_0)(u\partial_4(v) - \partial_4(u)v) - \varphi_4(\alpha_0)(u\partial_3(v) - \partial_3(u)v)\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

for $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_4$. It can be verified that $(\mathcal{A}_4, [\cdot,\cdot]_2)$ forms a Lie algebra. By (1.7), there exists at most one element $\sigma \in \ker_{\varphi_1} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_2}$ such that $\varphi_3(\sigma + \alpha_0) = \varphi_4(\sigma + \alpha_0) = 0$. If such a σ exists, then $x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}$ is a central element of $\mathcal{A}_4$. Form a quotient Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{B}_4 = \mathcal{A}_4/\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}} \tag{1.19}$$

whose induced Lie bracket is still denoted by $[\cdot,\cdot]_2$ when the context is clear, where we treat $x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}$ as $0 \in \mathcal{A}_4$ when such a σ does not exist.

Theorem 2. *The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{B}_4, [\cdot,\cdot]_2)$ is simple if $\vec{\mathcal{J}} \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ or there does not exist $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that*

$$\varphi_1(\rho - \alpha_0) = \varphi_2(\rho - \alpha_0) = \varphi_3(\rho + 2\alpha_0) = \varphi_3(\rho + 2\alpha_0) = 0. \tag{1.20}$$

If $\vec{\mathcal{J}} = \{\vec{0}\}$ and there exists $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that (1.20) holds (it is unique by (1.7)), then $\mathcal{B}_4^{(1)} = [\mathcal{B}_4, \mathcal{B}_4]_2$ is simple and $\mathcal{B}_4 = \mathcal{B}_4^{(1)} \oplus (\mathbb{F}x^{\rho,\vec{0}} + \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}})$.

Class III.

The third class of generalized Block algebras are Lie superalgebras, where some special cases of these Lie superalgebras had been obtained in [X2]. Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \tag{1.21}$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0=(\mathcal{A}_2,0),\qquad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1=(0,\mathcal{A}_2). \tag{1.22}$$

Moreover, we denote

$$u_{[0]}=(u,0),\;\; u_{[1]}=(0,u) \tag{1.23}$$

for $u\in\mathcal{A}_2$.

Let $\alpha_0\in\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{F}$ be fixed elements. Define an algebraic operation $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$[u_{[0]},v_{[0]}]=[\partial_1(u)\partial_2(v)-\partial_1(v)\partial_2(u)+\varepsilon(u\partial_1(v)-\partial_1(u)v)]_{[0]}, \tag{1.24}$$

$$[u_{[1]},v_{[1]}]=(x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}uv)_{[0]}, \tag{1.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&[u_{[0]},v_{[1]}]=-[v_{[1]},u_{[0]}]=(\partial_1(u)\partial_2(v)-\partial_1(v)\partial_2(u))_{[1]}\\ &+\varepsilon\left(u\partial_1(v)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_1(u)v\right)_{[1]}+\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\partial_1(u)v+\varphi_1(\alpha_0)(\varepsilon uv-\partial_2(u)v)_{[1]}\end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

for $u,v\in\mathcal{A}_2$. We have verified that $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}},[\cdot,\cdot])$ forms a Lie superalgebra. In fact, the Lie superalgebra $(\mathcal{L},[\cdot,\cdot])$ in Theorem 5.3 of [X2] is a special case of $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}},[\cdot,\cdot])$. We shall not present the tedious lengthy verification of the Jacobi identity for $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}},[\cdot,\cdot])$ in this paper. Up to equivalence of constructions, we only need to consider the cases when $\varepsilon=0,1$. The special case when $\varepsilon=0$ and $\alpha_0=0$ was included in the supersymmetric extension of the Lie algebras of Cartan type H in Section 6.3 of [X6]. Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}=\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0+\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1,\qquad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1=[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1]. \tag{1.27}$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ forms a subalgebra. Moreover, we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}=\begin{cases}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}/\mathbb{F}1_{[0]} & \text{if}\;\;\varepsilon=0,\\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/\mathbb{F}(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]} & \text{if}\;\;\varepsilon=1,\end{cases} \tag{1.28}$$

where $\sigma_1\in\ker_{\varphi_1}$ and $\varphi_2(\sigma_1)=1$ as in Class I. Now we allow the case $\varepsilon=1$, $\varphi_2\equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_2=\{0\}$; equivalently, we only assume that (1.6) only holds for $p=1$ when $\varepsilon=1$.

Theorem 3. *The pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}},[\cdot,\cdot])$ forms a simple Lie superalgebra if* $\varepsilon=1$ *or* $\varepsilon=0,\ \alpha_0\neq 0$, and

$$\ker_{\varphi_1}\not\subset\ker_{\varphi_2}\;\;\text{if}\;\;\varphi_2\not\equiv 0\;\;\text{and}\;\;\ker_{\varphi_2}\not\subset\ker_{\varphi_1}\;\;\text{if}\;\;\varphi_1\not\equiv 0. \tag{1.29}$$

Moreover, the codimension of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is at most 1 under the above condition (1.29).

The above class of simple Lie superalgebras include the centerless super Virasoro algebra as a special case.

The following fact in linear algebra will be used in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. *Let T be a linear transformation on a vector space U and let U_1 be a subspace of U such that $T(U_1) \subset U_1$. Suppose that $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$ are eigenvectors of T corresponding to different eigenvalues. If $\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j \in U_1$, then $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in U_1$.*

We shall present the proofs and related special examples of simple Lie algebras of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 in Sections 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

2 Proof of Theorem 1 and Examples

In this section, we shall first give the proof of Theorem 1 and then present some related examples of simple Lie algebras.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1

First we have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]_1 &= (\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta) - \varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha) + \varphi_1(\beta-\alpha))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} \\ &\quad +(j_2\varphi_1(\alpha) - i_2\varphi_1(\beta))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[2]}} + (i_1 j_2 - i_2 j_1)x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[1]}-1_{[2]}} \\ &\quad +(i_1\varphi_2(\beta) - j_1\varphi_2(\alpha) + j_1 - i_1)x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[1]}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}$ by (1.13). In particular,

$$[1, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]_1 = \varphi_1(\beta)x^{\beta,\vec{j}} + j_1 x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[1]}} \qquad \text{for } (\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Set

$$\Gamma' = \{\varphi_1(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}. \tag{2.3}$$

For $\lambda \in \Gamma'$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_{(\lambda)} = \{u \in \mathcal{A}_2 \mid (\text{ad}_1 - \lambda)^m(u) = 0 \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{2.4}$$

By (2.2), we have

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Gamma'} \mathcal{A}_{(\lambda)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Recall that $\sigma_i \in \ker_{\varphi_1}$ satisfying $\varphi_2(\sigma_i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof of the First Statement in Theorem 1

Let I be any ideal of $\mathcal{A}_2$ that strictly contains $\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$. To prove the first statement in Theorem 1 is equivalent to proving $I = \mathcal{A}_2$.

By (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$I = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Gamma'} I_\lambda, \qquad I_\lambda = I \bigcap \mathcal{A}_{(\lambda)}. \tag{2.6}$$

For any $(\beta, \vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}$,

$$[x^{-\beta,\vec{0}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]_1 = 2\varphi_1(\beta)x^{0,\vec{j}} + j_1(\varphi_2(\beta)+1)x^{0,\vec{j}-1_{[1]}} - j_2\varphi_1(\beta)x^{0,\vec{j}-1_{[2]}} \tag{2.7}$$

by (2.1) (cf. (1.9)). If $I_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ for some $0 \neq \lambda \in \Gamma'$, we pick any $0 \neq u = \sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}}} c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \in I_\lambda$, where $c_{\alpha,\lambda} \in \mathbb{F}$. Assume $c_{\beta,\vec{j}} \neq 0$ for some $(\beta, \vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}$. Fixing β and considering the nonzero terms $c_{\beta,\vec{l}}x^{\beta,\vec{l}}$ in u with the largest value $l_1 + l_2$, we have

$$[x^{-\beta,\vec{0}}, u]_1 \in I_0 \setminus \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} \tag{2.8}$$

by (2.7) and the fact that $\sigma_1 \neq 0$. Thus (2.6) implies that I_0 strictly contains $\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$.

Equation (2.2) imply

$$[1, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]_1 = j_1x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[1]}} \qquad \text{for } (\beta, \vec{j}) \in \ker_{\varphi_1} \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, we have

$$[x^{-\sigma_1,\vec{0}}, x^{\sigma_1,1_{[1]}}]_1 = 2x^{0,\vec{0}} \tag{2.10}$$

by (2.7). Set

$$I_{[0]} = I \bigcap \Big(\sum_{(\alpha,j)\in\ker_{\varphi_1}\times\mathcal{J}_2} \mathbb{F}x^{\alpha,j_{[2]}} \Big). \tag{2.11}$$

By (2.9), (2.10) and repeated acting ad_1 on I_0, we can prove that

$$I_{[0]} \text{ strictly contains } \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}. \tag{2.12}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathrm{span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \mid (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}},\ i_2 \leq k\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}. \tag{2.14}$$

Set

$$\hat{k} = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid I_{[0]} \bigcap \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} \setminus \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} \neq \emptyset\}. \tag{2.15}$$

For any $u\in(I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle})\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$, we write it as

$$u=\sum_{\alpha\in\ker_{\varphi_1},(\alpha,\hat{k})\neq(\sigma_1,\vec{0})}a_\alpha x^{\alpha,\hat{k}_{[2]}}+u',\qquad a_\alpha\in\mathbb{F},\ u'\in\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}+\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}\tag{2.16}$$

and define

$$\imath(u)=|\{\alpha\mid a_\alpha\neq 0\}|.\tag{2.17}$$

By (2.12), $\imath(u)>0$. Set

$$\imath=\min\{\imath(v)\mid 0\neq v\in(I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle})\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}\}.\tag{2.18}$$

Choose $0\neq u\in(I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle})\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$ such that $\imath(u)=\imath$. Write u as in (2.16).

If $\mathscr{J}_1=\mathbb{N}$, then

$$I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle}\ni[x^{0,1_{[1]}},u]_1\equiv\sum_{\alpha\in\ker_{\varphi_1},(\alpha,\hat{k})\neq(\sigma_1,\vec{0})}a_\alpha(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1)x^{\alpha,\hat{k}_{[2]}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}}\tag{2.19}$$

by (2.1). By the minimality of $\imath(u)$ (cf. (2.18)) and Lemma 4,

$$\varphi_2(\alpha)=\varphi_2(\beta)\qquad\text{whenever }\ a_\alpha a_\beta\neq 0.\tag{2.20}$$

Assume that $\mathscr{J}_1=\{0\}$. By (1.6), $\varphi_1\not\equiv 0$. For any $\tau\in\Gamma\setminus\ker_{\varphi_1}$, we have

$$I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle}\ni[[x^{\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1\equiv\sum_{\alpha\in\ker_{\varphi_1},(\alpha,\hat{k})\neq(\sigma_1,\vec{0})}a_\alpha\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1)(\varphi_2(\alpha)-2)x^{\alpha,\hat{k}_{[2]}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}}.\tag{2.21}$$

Again by the minimality of $\imath(u)$ (cf. (2.18)) and Lemma 4,

$$(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1)(\varphi_2(\alpha)-2)=(\varphi_2(\beta)-1)(\varphi_2(\beta)-2)\qquad\text{whenever }\ a_\alpha a_\beta\neq 0,\tag{2.22}$$

which can be written as

$$(\varphi_2(\alpha)-\varphi_2(\beta))(\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varphi_2(\beta)-3)=0\qquad\text{whenever }\ a_\alpha a_\beta\neq 0.\tag{2.23}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\varphi_2(\alpha)=\varphi_2(\beta)\ \text{ or }\ \varphi_2(\alpha)+\varphi_2(\beta)=3\qquad\text{whenever }\ a_\alpha a_\beta\neq 0.\tag{2.24}$$

Assume that there exists $a_\alpha a_\beta\neq 0$ such that $\varphi_2(\alpha)\neq\varphi_2(\beta)$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varphi_2(\beta)=3$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\varphi_2(\beta)\neq 0,1$ because $\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varphi_2(\beta)=3$. For any $\tau\in\Gamma\setminus\ker_{\varphi_1}$, we have

$$I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle}\ni[[x^{\alpha+\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1\equiv\sum_{\gamma\in\ker_{\varphi_1},(\gamma,\hat{k})\neq(\sigma_1,\vec{0})}a_\gamma\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\gamma)-1)(\varphi_2(\alpha+\gamma)-2)x^{\alpha+\gamma,\hat{k}_{[2]}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}}.\tag{2.25}$$

Since φ_2 is a group homomorphism, $\varphi_2(\alpha+\beta)=\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varphi_2(\beta)=3$. Hence

$$a_\beta\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\beta)-1)(\varphi_2(\alpha+\beta)-2)x^{\alpha+\beta,\hat{k}_{[2]}}\neq 0. \tag{2.26}$$

Thus

$$0<\imath([[x^{\alpha+\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1)\leq\imath(u)=\imath \tag{2.27}$$

because $\varphi_2(\alpha+\beta)=3\neq 1=\varphi_2(\sigma_1)$. By the minimality of $\imath(u)$ (cf. (2.18)), we have

$$\imath([[x^{\alpha+\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1)=\imath(u), \tag{2.28}$$

which implies

$$a_\alpha\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1)(\varphi_2(2\alpha)-2)\neq 0 \tag{2.29}$$

by (2.25). So $\varphi_2(\alpha)\neq 1$. Similarly, we have

$$I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}\rangle}\ni[[x^{\beta+\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1\equiv\sum_{\gamma\in\ker_{\varphi_1},(\gamma,\hat{k})\neq(\sigma_1,\vec{0})}$$
$$a_\gamma\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\gamma)-1)(\varphi_2(\beta+\gamma)-2)x^{\beta+\gamma,\hat{k}_{[2]}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}}, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\imath([[x^{\beta+\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1)=\imath(u) \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$a_\alpha\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1)(\varphi_2(\alpha+\beta)-2)a_\beta\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\beta)-1)(\varphi_2(2\beta)-2)\neq 0. \tag{2.32}$$

But

$$\varphi_2(\alpha+\beta)\neq\varphi_2(2\beta),\ \ \varphi_2(\alpha+\beta)+\varphi_2(2\beta)=2\varphi_2(\beta)+3\neq 3, \tag{2.33}$$

which contradicts (2.24) if we replace u by $[[x^{\beta+\tau,\vec{0}},u]_1,x^{-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1$. Thus we always have (2.20). Therefore, by (1.7), we have

$$\alpha=\beta\qquad\text{whenever }\ a_\alpha a_\beta\neq 0. \tag{2.34}$$

Hence we can assume

$$u=x^{\alpha,\hat{k}_{[2]}}+u',\ \ \alpha\in\ker_{\varphi_1},\ u'\in\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}+\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} \tag{2.35}$$

and $\alpha\neq\sigma_1$ if $\hat{k}=0$.

Assume that $\hat{k}>0$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha)=1$. If $\mathcal{J}_1=\mathbb{N}$, then

$$I_{[0]}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-1\rangle}\ni[x^{-\alpha,1_{[1]}},u]=\hat{k}x^{0,(\hat{k}-1)_{[2]}}+\sum_{0\neq\beta\in\ker_{\varphi_1}}c_\beta x^{\beta,(\hat{k}-1)_{[2]}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle\hat{k}-2\rangle}} \tag{2.36}$$

with $c_\beta \in \mathbb{F}$, which implies $(I_{[0]} \bigcap \mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-1\rangle}) \setminus \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} \neq \emptyset$. We get a contradiction to (2.15). If $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{0\}$, then $\varphi_1 \not\equiv 0$ by (1.6) and for any $\tau \in \Gamma \setminus \ker_{\varphi_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{[0]} \bigcap \mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-1\rangle} \ni [[u, x^{-\alpha-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1, x^{\tau,\vec{0}}]_1 \\ \equiv \ & 2\hat{k}\varphi_1(\tau)^2 x^{0,(\hat{k}-1)_{[2]}} + \sum_{0\neq\beta\in\ker_{\varphi_1}} c_\beta x^{\beta,(\hat{k}-1)_{[2]}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-2\rangle}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

where $c_\beta \in \mathbb{F}$. Again we get a contradiction to (2.15).

Suppose that $\hat{k} > 0$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha) \neq 1$. If $\varphi_1 \not\equiv 0$, then for any $\tau \in \Gamma \setminus \ker_{\varphi_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{[0]} \bigcap \mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-1\rangle} \ni [x^{-2\tau,\vec{0}}, [x^{\tau,\vec{0}}, [u, x^{-\alpha+\tau,\vec{0}}]_1]_1]_1 \\ \equiv \ & 4\hat{k}\varphi_1(\tau)^3(1-\varphi_2(\alpha)) x^{0,(\hat{k}-1)_{[2]}} + \sum_{0\neq\beta\in\ker_{\varphi_1}} c_\beta x^{\beta,(\hat{k}-1)_{[2]}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-2\rangle}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

with $c_\beta \in \mathbb{F}$, which contradicts (2.15). If $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$, then $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathbb{N}$ by (1.6). Moreover,

$$[x^{-\alpha,1_{[1]}}, u] \equiv (\varphi_2(\alpha) - 1) x^{0,\hat{k}_{[2]}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-1\rangle}} \tag{2.39}$$

by (2.1) and the facts that $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$. Replacing u by $(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1)^{-1}[x^{-\alpha,1_{[1]}}, u]$, we can assume that $\alpha = 0$. Furthemore,

$$[x^{0,1_{[1]}}, u] + u = \partial_2(u) \in I_{[0]} \bigcap \mathcal{A}_{\langle \hat{k}-1\rangle} \setminus \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} \tag{2.40}$$

by (1.12) and (1.13), which contradicts (2.15).

Thus we have $\hat{k} = 0$. So $x^{\alpha,\vec{0}} \in I$ for some $\alpha \in \ker_{\varphi_1}$ such that $\varphi_2(\alpha) \neq 1$. Assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. So $\varphi_2(\alpha) \neq 0$ by (1.7). If $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathbb{N}$, then

$$[x^{-\alpha,1_{[1]}}, x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}]_1 = (\varphi_2(\alpha) - 1) x^{0,\vec{0}} \in I. \tag{2.41}$$

If $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{0\}$, then $\varphi_1 \not\equiv 0$ by (1.6). Picking any $\tau \in \Gamma \setminus \ker_{\varphi_1}$, we have

$$[[x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}, x^{-\alpha-\tau,\vec{0}}]_1, x^{\tau,\vec{0}}]_1 = 2\varphi_1(\tau)^2(\varphi_2(\alpha)-1) x^{0,\vec{0}} \in I. \tag{2.42}$$

Thus we always have $1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}} = x^{0,\vec{0}} \in I$. Moreover, we can prove $I = \mathcal{A}_2$ if $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathbb{N}$ by (2.2) and induction j_1. Assume that $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{0\}$. So $\varphi_1 \not\equiv 0$ by (1.6). Then (2.2) implies

$$x^{\alpha,j_{[2]}} \in I \qquad \text{for } (\alpha, j) \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{J}_2,\ \varphi_1(\alpha) \neq 0. \tag{2.43}$$

For any $(\beta, j) \in \ker_{\varphi_1} \times \mathcal{J}_2$ and $\tau \in \Gamma \setminus \ker_{\varphi_1}$, we have:

$$[x^{\tau,\vec{0}}, x^{-\tau+\beta,j_{[2]}}]_1 = \varphi_1(\tau)((\varphi_2(\beta)-2)x^{\beta,j_{[2]}} + j x^{\beta,(j-1)_{[2]}}) \in I. \tag{2.44}$$

By (2.44) and induction j, we can prove $I = \mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{J}_2 = \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathcal{J}_2 = \{0\}$, then (2.44) shows

$$x^{\beta,\vec{0}} \in I \qquad \text{for } \sigma_2 \neq \beta \in \ker_{\varphi_1}. \tag{2.45}$$

Thus $I = \mathcal{A}$ by the assumption of the first statement of Theorem 1.

Proof of the Second Statement in Theorem 1

Set

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2 = \text{span}\,\{x^{\alpha,\vec{0}} \mid \sigma_2 \neq \alpha \in \Gamma\}. \tag{2.46}$$

Then (2.2) and (2.44) shows

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2 = [\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2]_1. \tag{2.47}$$

Replacing $\mathcal{A}$ by $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ and Γ by $\Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_2\}$ in the proof of the first statement, we obtain (2.45), which implies the simplicity of

$$\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)} = [\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_2] = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2/\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}. \tag{2.48}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

2.2 Related Examples of Simple Lie Algebras

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the field of real numbers. By Theorem 1, we obtain the following examples of simple Lie algebras $(\mathcal{B}, [\cdot,\cdot])$, where f_t denotes the partial derivative of a polynomial f with respect to the variable t.

Example 2.1. The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f, g] = f_{t_1}(g_{t_2} - g) + (f - f_{t_2})g_{t_1} \qquad \text{for } f, g \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2]. \tag{2.49}$$

Example 2.2. The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f, g] = t_1[f_{t_1}(g_{t_2} - g) + (f - f_{t_2})g_{t_1}]. \tag{2.50}$$

The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}]/\mathbb{R}t_1$ and its Lie bracket is induced by:

$$[f, g] = f_{t_2}(t_1 g_{t_1} - g) + (f - t_1 f_{t_1})g_{t_2} \tag{2.51}$$

for $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}]$.

Example 2.3. The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1^{-1}]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f, g] = (t_1 f_{t_1} + f_{t_3})(g_{t_2} - g) + (f - f_{t_2})(t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3}). \tag{2.52}$$

The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1^{-1}]/\mathbb{R}t_1$ with the Lie bracket induced by:

$$[f, g] = f_{t_2}(t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3} - g) + (f - t_1 f_{t_1} - f_{t_3})g_{t_2} \tag{2.53}$$

for $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1^{-1}]$.

Example 2.4. The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}]/\mathbb{R}t_2$ with the Lie bracket induced by:

$$[f, g] = (t_1 f_{t_1} + f_{t_3})(t_2 g_{t_2} - g) + (f - t_2 f_{t_2})(t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3}) \tag{2.54}$$

or

$$[f, g] = t_1[f_{t_1}(t_2 g_{t_2} + g_{t_3} - g) + (f - t_2 f_{t_2} - f_{t_3})g_{t_1}] \tag{2.55}$$

for $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}]$.

Example 2.5. Let m be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{R}[t_1^{1/m}, t_2^{1/m}, t_3, t_4, t_1^{-1/m}, t_2^{-1/m}] \tag{2.56}$$

generated by

$$\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, (t_1 t_2)^{1/m}, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}\}. \tag{2.57}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}]$ when $m = 1$. The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{R}t_2$ and its Lie bracket is induced by

$$[f, g] = (t_1 f_{t_1} + f_{t_3})(t_2 g_{t_2} - g_{t_4} - g) + (f - t_2 f_{t_2} - f_{t_4})(t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3}) \tag{2.58}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2 and Examples

In this section, we shall first give the proof of Theorem 2 and then present some related examples of simple Lie algebras.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 2

First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]_2 = \\
&(\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta) - \varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha))x^{\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} + (i_1\varphi_2(\beta) - j_1\varphi_2(\alpha))x^{\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[1]}} \\
&+(j_2\varphi_1(\alpha) - i_2\varphi_1(\beta)x^{\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[2]}} + (i_1 j_2 - i_2 j_1))x^{\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[1]}-1_{[2]}} \\
&+(\varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\beta+\alpha_0) - \varphi_3(\beta+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}} \\
&+(i_3\varphi_4(\beta+\alpha_0) - j_3\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[3]}} + (i_3 j_4 - i_4 j_3)x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[3]}-1_{[4]}} \\
&+(j_4\varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0) - i_4\varphi_3(\beta+\alpha_0))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[4]}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}}$ by (1.18). Recall the element $\sigma \in \ker_{\varphi_1}\bigcap\ker_{\varphi_2}$ satisfying $\varphi_3(\sigma+\alpha_0)=\varphi_4(\sigma+\alpha_0)=0$. Moreover, we treat $x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}$ as $0\in\mathcal{A}_4$ when such σ does not exist.

Proof of the First Statement in Theorem 2.

Let I be any ideal of $\mathcal{A}_4$ that strictly contains $\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}$. To prove the first statement in Thorem 2 is equivalent to proving $I=\mathcal{A}_4$.

Step 1. $1\in I$.

For any $\vec{i}\in\vec{\mathcal{J}}$, we define

$$|\vec{i}|=\sum_{p=1}^{4} i_p. \tag{3.2}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{4,k}=\text{span}\,\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\mid \alpha\in\Gamma,\ \vec{i}\in\vec{\mathcal{J}},\ |\vec{i}|\le k\}\qquad\text{for }\ k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{3.3}$$

For convenience, we let

$$\mathcal{A}_{4,-1}=\emptyset. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, we define

$$\hat{k}=\min\,\{k\in\mathbb{N}\mid(\mathcal{A}_{4,k}\bigcap I)\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}\ne\emptyset\}. \tag{3.5}$$

For any $u\in(\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}}\bigcap I)\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}$, we write:

$$u=u_{ld}+\tilde{u}\qquad\text{with }\ \tilde{u}\in\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}-1}+\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$u_{ld}=\sum_{(\sigma,\vec{0})\ne(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}},\ |\vec{i}|=\hat{k}} a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},\qquad a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\in\mathbb{F} \tag{3.7}$$

and define

$$\flat(u)=|\{\alpha\in\Gamma\mid a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\ne 0\text{ for }\vec{i}\in\vec{\mathcal{J}},\ |\vec{i}|=\hat{k}\}|. \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, we set

$$\flat=\min\{\flat(v)\mid v\in(\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}}\bigcap I)\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}\}. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $u\in(\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}}\bigcap I)\setminus\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}}$ such that $\flat(u)=\flat$. Write u as in (3.6) and (3.7). We set

$$1'=2,\ \ 2'=1,\ \ 3'=4,\ \ 4'=3,\ \ \epsilon(1)=\epsilon(3)=1,\ \ \epsilon(2)=\epsilon(4)=-1. \tag{3.10}$$

For $p\in\{1,2\}$ and any $\tau\in\bigcap_{p\neq q\in\overline{1,4}}\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[x^{-\tau-\alpha_0,\vec{0}},[u,x^{\tau-\alpha_0,\vec{0}}]_2]_2\\ \equiv&\sum_{(\sigma,\vec{0})\neq(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}},\,|\vec{i}|=\hat{k}}[(\varphi_p(\tau)-\varphi_p(\alpha_0))\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)+\varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)\varphi_p(\alpha)]\\ &[(\varphi_p(\tau)+\varphi_p(\alpha_0))\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)-\varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)(\varphi_p(\alpha)+\varphi_p(\tau)))]a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1}}\qquad(3.11)\end{aligned}$$

by (3.1). Since $\varphi_p(\tau)$ takes an infinite number of elements in $\mathbb{F}$ for $\tau\in\bigcap_{p\neq q\in\overline{1,4}}\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_q}$ by (1.15), the coefficients of $\varphi_p(\tau)^2$ show

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha-\alpha_0)=\varphi_{p'}(\beta)\varphi_{p'}(\beta-\alpha_0)\quad\text{whenever }\ a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq 0\qquad(3.12)$$

by the minimality of $\flat(u)$ (cf. (3.9)) and Lemma 4. Moreover, (3.12) is equivalent to

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)=\varphi_{p'}(\beta)\ \text{ or }\ \varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\beta-\alpha_0)=0\quad\text{whenever }\ a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq 0.\qquad(3.13)$$

Assume that there exist $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ such that $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\neq\varphi_{p'}(\beta)$, $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\beta-\alpha_0)=0$ and $a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq 0$. We may assume that $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\neq 0$. Choose

$$\tau\in\bigcap_{p\neq q\in\overline{1,4}}\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_q}\setminus\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_p},\quad\tau'\in\bigcap_{p'\neq q\in\overline{1,4}}\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_q}\setminus\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_{p'}}\qquad(3.14)$$

such that

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\tau'+\alpha_0)\neq 0,\ \ \varphi_p(\tau-\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\neq\varphi_p(\alpha)\varphi_{p'}(\tau'-\alpha_0)\qquad(3.15)$$

by (1.15). We have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\tau+\tau'-\alpha_0,\vec{0}},u]_2\ \equiv&\sum_{(\sigma,\vec{0})\neq(\gamma,\vec{l})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}},\,|\vec{l}|=\hat{k}}\epsilon_p a_{\gamma,\vec{l}}[\varphi_p(\tau-\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\gamma)\\ &-\varphi_p(\gamma)\varphi_{p'}(\tau'-\alpha_0)]x^{\gamma+\tau+\tau',\vec{l}}\pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1}}\qquad(3.16)\end{aligned}$$

by (3.1). Since $\flat(u)$ is minimum, $\alpha+\tau+\tau'\neq\sigma$ due to $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\tau'+\alpha_0)\neq 0$, and

$$\epsilon_p a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}(\varphi_p(\tau-\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)-\varphi_p(\alpha)\varphi_{p'}(\tau'-\alpha_0))\neq 0,\qquad(3.17)$$

we have

$$\epsilon_p a_{\beta,\vec{j}}(\varphi_p(\tau-\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\beta)-\varphi_p(\beta)\varphi_{p'}(\tau'-\alpha_0))\neq 0.\qquad(3.18)$$

But

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\tau+\tau')\neq\varphi_{p'}(\beta+\tau+\tau'),\ \ \varphi_{p'}((\alpha+\tau+\tau')+(\beta+\tau+\tau')-\alpha_0)=2\varphi_{p'}(\tau')\neq 0,\ (3.19)$$

which contradicts to (3.13) with u replaced by $[x^{\tau'-\alpha_0,\vec{0}},u]_2$. Thus the first equation in (3.13) holds.

For $p\in\{3,4\}$ and any $\tau\in\bigcap_{p\neq q\in\overline{1,4}}\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[x^{-\tau,\vec{0}},[u,x^{\tau,\vec{0}}]_2]_2\\ \equiv&\sum_{(\sigma,\vec{0})\neq(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}},\,|\vec{i}|=\hat{k}}[\varphi_p(\tau)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\alpha_0)+\varphi_p(\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)-\varphi_p(\alpha)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)]\\ &[\varphi_p(\tau)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+2\alpha_0)-\varphi_p(\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)+\varphi_p(\alpha)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)]a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\ (\mathrm{mod}\,\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1})\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

by (3.1). Since $\varphi_p(\tau)$ takes an infinite number of elements in $\mathbb{F}$ for $\tau\in\bigcap_{p\neq q\in\overline{1,4}}\mathrm{ker}_{\varphi_q}$ by (1.15), the coefficients of $\varphi_p(\tau)^2$ show

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+2\alpha_0)=\varphi_{p'}(\beta+\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\beta+2\alpha_0)\quad\text{whenever}\ \ a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq0\tag{3.21}$$

by the minimality of $\flat(u)$ (cf. (3.9)) and Lemma 4. Moreover, (3.21) is equivalent to

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)=\varphi_{p'}(\beta)\ \text{ or }\ \varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\beta+3\alpha_0)=0\quad\text{whenever}\ \ a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq0.\tag{3.22}$$

Assume that there exist $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ such that $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\neq\varphi_{p'}(\beta)$, $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\beta+3\alpha_0)=0$ and $a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq0$. We may assume that $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\alpha_0)\neq0$ because $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\neq\varphi_{p'}(\beta)$. Choose τ and τ' as in (3.14) such that

$$\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\tau')\neq0,\ \ \varphi_p(\tau+\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\alpha_0)\neq\varphi_p(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\alpha_0)\tag{3.23}$$

by (1.15). We have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\tau+\tau',\vec{0}},u]_2\ \equiv&\sum_{(\sigma,\vec{0})\neq(\gamma,\vec{l})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}},\,|\vec{l}|=\hat{k}}\epsilon_p a_{\gamma,\vec{l}}[\varphi_p(\tau+\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\gamma+\alpha_0)\\ &-\varphi_p(\gamma+\alpha_0)\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\alpha_0)]x^{\gamma+\tau+\tau',\vec{l}}\ (\mathrm{mod}\,\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

by (3.1). As (3.17)-(3.19), we get a contradiction to (3.22) with u replaced by $[x^{\tau+\tau',\vec{0}},u]_2$. Thus the first equation in (3.22) holds. By (1.7), we obtain

$$\alpha=\beta\quad\text{whenever}\ \ a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}a_{\beta,\vec{j}}\neq0.\tag{3.25}$$

Let $a_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\neq0$ be fixed.

Case 1. $\hat{k}=0$.

In this case, $x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}\in I$ for some $\sigma\neq\alpha\in\Gamma$. Assume that $\alpha\neq0$. Since

$$[x^{\alpha,\vec{0}},x^{-\alpha,\vec{0}}]_2=2(\varphi_3(\alpha)\varphi_4(\alpha_0)-\varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha))x^{0,\vec{0}}\tag{3.26}$$

by (3.1), then $1=1_{\mathcal{A}}=x^{0,\vec{0}}\in I$ if $\varphi_3(\alpha)\varphi_4(\alpha_0)\neq\varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha)$.

Assume that $\varphi_3(\alpha)\varphi_4(\alpha_0) = \varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha)$ and $\varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0) \neq 0$ or $\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0) \neq 0$. By (1.15) and (1.16), we can choose

$$\tau \in \bigcap_{3\neq q\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q}, \quad \tau' \in \bigcap_{q=1}^{3} \ker_{\varphi_q} \tag{3.27}$$

such that

$$\varphi_3(\tau)\varphi_4(\alpha_0) \neq \varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\tau') \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\varphi_3(\tau)\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0) \neq \varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\tau'). \tag{3.29}$$

Then

$$[x^{\tau+\tau',\vec{0}}, x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}]_2 = (\varphi_3(\tau)\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0) - \varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\tau'))x^{\alpha+\tau+\tau',\vec{0}} \in I. \tag{3.30}$$

Thus $x^{\alpha+\tau+\tau',\vec{0}} \in I$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_3(\alpha+\tau+\tau')\varphi_4(\alpha_0) = (\varphi_3(\alpha)+\varphi_3(\tau))\varphi_4(\alpha_0) \\ \neq \; & \varphi_3(\alpha_0)(\varphi_4(\alpha)+\varphi_4(\tau')) = \varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha+\tau+\tau'). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

So $1 \in I$ by the arguments in the above paragraph.

Suppose that $\varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0) = \varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0) = 0$. Since $\alpha \neq \sigma$, there exists $p \in \{1,2\}$ such that $\varphi_p(\alpha) \neq 0$. Pick any $\tau' \in (\bigcap_{p'\neq q\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q}) \setminus \ker_{\varphi_{p'}}$. Then we have

$$[x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}, x^{\tau',\vec{0}}]_2 = \epsilon_p\varphi_p(\alpha)\varphi_{p'}(\tau')x^{\alpha+\alpha_0+\tau',\vec{0}} \in I. \tag{3.32}$$

By (1.16), there exists $q \in \{3,4\}$ such that $\varphi_q(\alpha_0) \neq 0$. Thus

$$\varphi_q((\alpha+\alpha_0+\tau')+\alpha_0) = \varphi_q(\alpha_0) \neq 0, \tag{3.33}$$

which implies $1 \in I$ by the arguments in the above paragraph.

Case 2. $\hat{k} > 0$ and there exists $p \in \{1,2\}$ such that $\varphi_p(\alpha) = 0$ and $j_p > 0$ for some $a_{\alpha,\vec{j}} \neq 0$.

By (1.17), there exists $\tau \in \ker_{\varphi_3} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_4}$ such that $\varphi_p(\tau) = \varphi_p(\alpha_0)$. We choose $\tau' \in \bigcap_{p'\neq q\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q}$ such that $\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\tau) \neq \varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0), -\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}-1} \bigcap I) \ni [x^{\tau'+\tau-\alpha_0,\vec{0}}, u]_2 \equiv \epsilon_{p'}\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\tau-\alpha_0) \sum_{\vec{l}\in\vec{\mathcal{J}},|\vec{l}|=\hat{k}} l_p a_{\alpha,\vec{l}} x^{\alpha+\tau'+\tau,\vec{l}-1_{[p]}} \\ + \sum_{\alpha+\tau'+\tau\neq\beta\in\Gamma,\vec{l}\in\vec{\mathcal{J}},|\vec{l}|=\hat{k}-1} c_{\beta,\vec{l}} x^{\beta,\vec{l}-1_{[p]}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}-2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

by (3.1) with $c_{\beta,\vec{l}} \in \mathbb{F}$, which contradicts (3.5) because $\alpha+\tau'+\tau \neq \sigma$ due to $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\tau'+\tau) \neq 0$.

Case 3. $\hat{k} > 0$ and there exists $p \in \{3,4\}$ such that $\varphi_p(\alpha+\alpha_0) = 0$ and $j_p > 0$ for some $a_{\alpha,\vec{j}} \neq 0$.

By (1.17), there exists $\tau \in \ker_{\varphi_1} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_2}$ such that $\varphi_p(\tau) = -\varphi_p(\alpha_0)$. We choose $\tau' \in \bigcap_{p' \neq q \in \overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q} \setminus \ker_{\varphi_{p'}}$ such that $\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\tau+\alpha_0) \neq 0, -\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)$. Note that

$$(\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}-1} \bigcap I) \ni [x^{\tau'+\tau,\vec{0}}, u]_2 \equiv \epsilon_{p'}\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\tau+\alpha_0) \sum_{\vec{l} \in \vec{\mathcal{J}}, |\vec{l}|=\hat{k}} l_p a_{\alpha,\vec{l}} x^{\alpha+\tau'+\tau,\vec{l}-1_{[p]}}$$
$$+ \sum_{\alpha+\tau'+\tau \neq \beta \in \Gamma, \vec{l} \in \vec{\mathcal{J}}, |\vec{l}|=\hat{k}-1} c_{\beta,\vec{l}} x^{\beta,\vec{l}-1_{[p]}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{4,\hat{k}-2}} \tag{3.35}$$

by (3.1) with $c_{\beta,\vec{l}} \in \mathbb{F}$, which contradicts (3.5) because $\alpha+\tau'+\tau \neq \sigma$ due to $\varphi_{p'}(\alpha+\tau'+\tau+\alpha_0) \neq 0$.

Case 4. $\hat{k} > 0$. There exist $p \in \{3,4\}$ and $a_{\alpha,\vec{j}} \neq 0$ such that $\varphi_p(\alpha+\alpha_0) \neq 0$ and $j_p > 0$.

We choose $\tau' \in \bigcap_{p' \neq q \in \overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q}$ such that $\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\alpha_0) \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi_{p'}(\tau')\varphi_p(\alpha+\alpha_0) + 2\varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)\varphi_p(\alpha) - 2\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\varphi_p(\alpha_0) \neq 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Then we go back to Case 3 if u is replaced by $[x^{\tau'-\alpha,\vec{0}}, u]_2$, where

$$[x^{\tau'-\alpha,\vec{0}}, u]_2 \equiv \epsilon_{p'}[\varphi_{p'}(\tau')\varphi_p(\alpha+\alpha_0) + 2\varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)\varphi_p(\alpha) - 2\varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\varphi_p(\alpha_0)]$$
$$\sum_{\vec{l} \in \vec{\mathcal{J}}, |\vec{l}|=\hat{k}} a_{\alpha,\vec{l}} x^{\tau',\vec{l}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1}} \tag{3.37}$$

by (3.1).

Case 5. $\hat{k} > 0$, $\varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha) - \varphi_3(\alpha)\varphi_4(\alpha_0) = 0$ and there exist $p \in \{1,2\}$ and $a_{\alpha,\vec{j}} \neq 0$ such that $\varphi_p(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $j_p > 0$.

We choose $\tau' \in (\bigcap_{p' \neq q \in \overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_q}) \setminus \ker_{\varphi_{p'}}$ such that

$$\varphi_{p'}(\tau')\varphi_p(\alpha) - \varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)\varphi_p(\alpha) + \varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\varphi_p(\alpha_0) \neq 0. \tag{3.38}$$

Then by we go back to Case 2 if u is replaced by $[x^{\tau'-\alpha-\alpha_0,\vec{0}}, u]_2$, where

$$[x^{\tau'-\alpha-\alpha_0,\vec{0}}, u]_2 \equiv \epsilon_{p'}[\varphi_{p'}(\tau')\varphi_p(\alpha) - \varphi_{p'}(\alpha_0)\varphi_p(\alpha) + \varphi_{p'}(\alpha)\varphi_p(\alpha_0)]$$
$$\sum_{\vec{l} \in \vec{\mathcal{J}}, |\vec{l}|=\hat{k}} a_{\alpha,\vec{l}} x^{\tau',\vec{l}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1}} \tag{3.39}$$

by (3.1).

Case 6. $\hat{k} > 0$, $\varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha) - \varphi_3(\alpha)\varphi_4(\alpha_0) \neq 0$ and there exist $p \in \{1,2\}$ and $a_{\alpha,\vec{j}} \neq 0$ such that $j_p > 0$.

We go back to Case 2 if u is replaced by $[x^{-\alpha,\vec{0}}, u]_2$, where

$$[x^{-\alpha,\vec{0}}, u]_2 \equiv 2[\varphi_3(\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha) - \varphi_3(\alpha)\varphi_4(\alpha_0)] \sum_{\vec{l}\in\vec{\mathcal{J}},|\vec{l}|=\hat{k}} a_{0,\vec{l}} x^{0,\vec{l}} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}-1}} \tag{3.40}$$

by (3.1).

This completes the proof of the conclusion in Step 1.

Step 2. The conclusion of Step 1 implies $I = \mathcal{A}$.

By (1.16), there exists $q \in \{3,4\}$ such that $\varphi_q(\alpha_0) \neq 0$. Moreover, there exists $\tau_q \in \ker_{\varphi_1} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_2}$ such that $\varphi_q(\tau_q) = -\varphi_q(\alpha_0)$ by (1.17). By (1.15), there exists $\tau' \in \bigcap_{q'\neq r\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_r} \setminus \ker_{\varphi_{q'}}$ such that $\varphi_{q'}(\tau' + \tau_q + \alpha_0) \neq 0$, we have:

$$[x^{\tau'+\tau_q,\vec{0}}, 1]_2 = \epsilon_{q'}\varphi_{q'}(\tau' + \tau_q + \alpha_0)\varphi_q(\alpha_0)x^{\tau'+\tau_q,\vec{0}} \in I \tag{3.41}$$

by (3.1). So $x^{\tau'+\tau_q,\vec{0}} \in I$. Moreover, for any $(\alpha,\vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}$, we have

$$[x^{\tau'+\tau_q,\vec{0}}, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}]_2 = \epsilon_{q'}\varphi_{q'}(\tau' + \tau_q + \alpha_0)(\varphi_q(\alpha + \alpha_0)x^{\alpha+\tau_q+\tau',\vec{i}} + i_q x^{\alpha+\tau_q+\tau',\vec{i}-1_{[q]}}). \tag{3.42}$$

By (3.42) and induction on i_q, we can prove $I = \mathcal{A}_4$ if $\mathcal{J}_q = \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\mathcal{J}_q = \{0\}$. Then (3.42) shows

$$x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \in I \qquad \text{for any } (\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}},\ \varphi_q(\beta) \neq -2\varphi_q(\alpha_0) \tag{3.43}$$

because $\varphi_q(\alpha + \tau_q + \tau') = -2\varphi_q(\alpha_0)$ if $\varphi_q(\alpha + \alpha_0) = 0$. Furthemore, there exists $\tau_{q'} \in \ker_{\varphi_1} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_2}$ such that $\varphi_{q'}(\tau_{q'}) = -\varphi_{q'}(\alpha_0)$ by (1.17). Thus $x^{\tau+\tau_{q'}} \in I$ for some $\tau \in \bigcap_{q\neq r\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_r} \setminus \ker_{\varphi_p}$ such that $\varphi_q(\tau + \tau_{q'}) \neq -\varphi_q(\alpha_0), -2\varphi_q(\alpha_0)$ by (1.15). Exchanging positions of q and q' in (3.42), we can prove $I = \mathcal{A}_4$ if $\mathcal{J}_{q'} = \mathbb{N}$ and (3.43) with q replaced by q' if $\mathcal{J}_{q'} = \{0\}$. Thus we always have $I = \mathcal{A}_4$ if $\mathcal{J}_3 = \mathbb{N}$ or $\mathcal{J}_4 = \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{J}_3 = \mathcal{J}_4 = \{0\}$. The above paragraph has proved

$$x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \in I \qquad \text{for any } (\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}},\ \varphi_3(\beta) \neq -2\varphi_3(\alpha_0) \text{ or } \varphi_4(\beta) \neq -2\varphi_4(\alpha_0). \tag{3.44}$$

Let $p \in \{1,2\}$. By (1.17), there exists $\tau_p \in \ker_{\varphi_3} \bigcap \ker_{\varphi_4}$ such that $\varphi_p(\tau_p) = \varphi_p(\alpha_0)$. Choose $\tau' \in \bigcap_{p'\neq r\in\overline{1,4}} \ker_{\varphi_r} \setminus \ker_{\varphi_{p'}}$ such that $\varphi_{p'}(\tau' + \tau_p - \alpha_0) \neq 0$ by (1.15), we have

$x^{\tau'+\tau_p-\alpha_0,\vec{0}} \in I$ by (3.44) and

$$[x^{\tau'+\tau_p-\alpha_0,\vec{0}}, x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}] = \epsilon_{p'}\varphi_{p'}(\tau'+\tau_p-\alpha_0)(\varphi_p(\alpha)x^{\alpha+\tau_p+\tau',\vec{i}} + i_p x^{\alpha+\tau_p+\tau',\vec{i}-1_{[q]}}) \tag{3.45}$$

for any $(\alpha,\vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}$. By (3.45) and induction on i_p, we can prove $I = \mathcal{A}_4$ if $\mathcal{J}_p = \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\mathcal{J}_p = \{0\}$. Then (3.45) shows

$$x^{\beta,\vec{j}} \in I \qquad \text{for any } (\beta,\vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}},\ \varphi_p(\beta) \neq \varphi_p(\alpha_0) \tag{3.46}$$

because $\varphi_p(\alpha+\tau_p+\tau') = \varphi_p(\tau_p) = \varphi_p(\alpha_0)$ if $\varphi_p(\alpha) = 0$. Since (1.20) is equivalent to

$$\varphi_1(\rho) = \varphi_1(\alpha_0),\ \varphi_2(\rho) = \varphi_2(\alpha_0),\ \varphi_3(\rho) = -2\varphi_3(\alpha_0),\ \varphi_4(\rho) = -2\varphi_4(\alpha_0), \tag{3.47}$$

We obtain the first Statement in Theorem 2.

Proof of the Second Statement in Theorem

Now $\vec{\mathcal{J}} = \{\vec{0}\}$ and there exists $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that (1.20) holds. Set

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_4 = \sum_{\rho \neq \alpha \in \Gamma} \mathbb{F}x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}. \tag{3.48}$$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}[x^{\alpha,\vec{0}}, x^{\beta,\vec{0}}]_2 &= (\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta) - \varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha))x^{\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0,\vec{0}} + (\varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\beta+\alpha_0) \\ &\quad -\varphi_3(\beta+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0))x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{0}}\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

by (3.1). If $\varphi_1(\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0) = \varphi_1(\alpha_0)$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0) = \varphi_2(\alpha_0)$, then $\varphi_1(\alpha) = -\varphi_1(\beta)$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha) = -\varphi_2(\beta)$. Thus $\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta) - \varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha) = 0$. If $\varphi_3(\alpha+\beta) = -2\varphi_3(\alpha_0)$ and $\varphi_4(\alpha+\beta) = -2\varphi_4(\alpha_0)$, then $\varphi_3(\alpha) = -\varphi_3(\beta) - 2\varphi_3(\alpha_0)$ and $\varphi_4(\alpha) = -\varphi_4(\beta) - 2\varphi_4(\alpha_0)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_3(\alpha+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\beta+\alpha_0) - \varphi_3(\beta+\alpha_0)\varphi_4(\alpha+\alpha_0) \\ =\ & (\varphi_3(\alpha)+\varphi_3(\alpha_0))\varphi_4(\beta+\alpha_0) - \varphi_3(\beta+\alpha_0)(\varphi_4(\alpha)+\varphi_4(\alpha_0)) \\ =\ & (-\varphi_3(\beta)-\varphi_3(\alpha_0))(\varphi_4(\beta)+\varphi_4(\alpha_0)) - (\varphi_3(\beta)+\varphi_3(\alpha_0))(-\varphi_4(\beta)-\varphi_4(\alpha_0)) \\ =\ & 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Thus $[\mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_4]_2 \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_4$. Hence $[\mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_4]_2 = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_4$ by (3.42) and (3.45) with $\vec{i} = \vec{0}$. Replacing $\mathcal{A}_4$ by $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_4$ and Γ by $\Gamma \setminus \{\rho\}$ in the proof of the first statement in Thorem 2, we obtain (3.41) and (3.43), which implies $I = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_4$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{B}_4^{(1)} = [\mathcal{B}_4, \mathcal{B}_4]_2 = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_4/\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma,\vec{0}} \tag{3.51}$$

is simple.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

3.2 Related Examples of Simple Lie Algebras

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the field of real numbers. By Theorem 2, we obtain the following examples of simple Lie algebras $(\mathcal{B}, [\cdot,\cdot])$, where f_t denotes the partial derivative of a polynomial f with respect to the variable t. Let m be a positive integer and let n be a nonzero integer.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subspace

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (t_1t_2t_3t_4)^{j/m}\mathbb{R}[t_i, t_i^{-1} \mid i \in \overline{1,4}] + \sum_{(n,n,-2n,-2n)\neq(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4)\in\mathbb{Z}^4} \mathbb{R}t_1^{l_1}t_2^{l_2}t_3^{l_3}t_4^{l_4} \tag{3.52}$$

of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[t_i^{1/m}, t_i^{-1/m} \mid i \in \overline{1,4}]$. The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{R}(t_3t_4)^{-n}$ and its Lie bracket is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} [f,g] &= t_1t_2(t_1t_2t_3t_4)^n(f_{t_1}g_{t_2} - f_{t_2}g_{t_1}) + (t_3f_{t_3} + nf)(t_4g_{t_4} + ng) \\ &\quad -(t_4f_{t_4} + nf)(t_3g_{t_3} + ng) \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{R}[t_i^{1/m}, t_i^{-1/m}, t_5 \mid i = \overline{1,4}] \tag{3.54}$$

generated by

$$\{t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5, (t_1t_2t_3t_4)^{1/m} \mid i = \overline{1,4}\}. \tag{3.55}$$

When $m = 1$,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5 \mid i = \overline{1,4}]. \tag{3.56}$$

The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{R}(t_3t_4)^{-n}$ and its Lie bracket is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} [f,g] &= t_1t_2(t_1t_2t_3t_4)^n(f_{t_1}g_{t_2} - f_{t_2}g_{t_1}) + (t_3f_{t_3} + f_{t_5} + nf)(t_4g_{t_4} + ng) \\ &\quad -(t_4f_{t_4} + nf)(t_3g_{t_3} + g_{t_5} + ng) \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{R}[t_i^{1/m}, t_i^{-1/m}, t_5, t_6 \mid i = \overline{1,4}] \tag{3.58}$$

generated by

$$\{t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5, t_6, (t_1t_2t_3t_4)^{1/m} \mid i = \overline{1,4}\}. \tag{3.59}$$

When $m = 1$,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5, t_6 \mid i = \overline{1,4}]. \tag{3.60}$$

The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{R}(t_3t_4)^{-n}$ and its Lie bracket is induced by

$$\begin{aligned}[f,g] &= t_1t_2(t_1t_2t_3t_4)^n(f_{t_1}g_{t_2} - f_{t_2}g_{t_1}) + (t_3f_{t_3} + f_{t_5} + nf)(t_4g_{t_4} + g_{t_6} + ng) \\ &\quad -(t_4f_{t_4} + f_{t_6} + nf)(t_3g_{t_3} + g_{t_5} + ng)\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}[f,g] &= t_2(t_1t_2t_3t_4)^n[(t_1f_{t_1} + f_{t_5})g_{t_2} - f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1} + g_{t_5})] \\ &\quad +(t_3f_{t_3} + f_{t_6} + nf)(t_4g_{t_4} + ng) - (t_4f_{t_4} + nf)(t_3g_{t_3} + g_{t_6} + ng)\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{R}[t_i^{1/m}, t_i^{-1/m}, t_5, t_6, t_7 \mid i = \overline{1,4}] \tag{3.63}$$

generated by

$$\{t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5, t_6, t_7, (t_1t_2t_3t_4)^{1/m} \mid i = \overline{1,4}\}. \tag{3.64}$$

When $m = 1$,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5, t_6, t_7 \mid i = \overline{1,4}]. \tag{3.65}$$

The space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{R}(t_3t_4)^{-n}$ and its Lie bracket is induced by

$$\begin{aligned}[f,g] &= t_2(t_1t_2t_3t_4)^n[(t_1f_{t_1} + f_{t_5})g_{t_2} - f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1} + g_{t_5})] + (t_3f_{t_3} + f_{t_6} + nf) \\ &\quad \times(t_4g_{t_4} + g_{t_7} + ng) - (t_4f_{t_4} + f_{t_7} + nf)(t_3g_{t_3} + g_{t_6} + ng)\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{R}[t_i^{1/m}, t_i^{-1/m}, t_{4+i} \mid i = \overline{1,4}] \tag{3.67}$$

generated by

$$\{t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_{4+i}, (t_1t_2t_3t_4)^{1/m} \mid i = \overline{1,4}\}. \tag{3.68}$$

When $m = 1$,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t_i, t_i^{-1}, t_5, t_6, t_7 \mid i = \overline{1,4}]. \tag{3.69}$$

The space $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{R}(t_3t_4)^{-n}$ and its Lie bracket is induced by

$$\begin{aligned}&[f,g]=\\&(t_1t_2t_3t_4)^n[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_5})(t_2g_{t_2}+g_{t_6})-(t_2f_{t_2}+f_{t_6})(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_5})]+(t_3f_{t_3}+f_{t_7}+nf)\\&\times(t_4g_{t_4}+g_{t_8}+ng)-(t_4f_{t_4}+f_{t_8}+nf)(t_3g_{t_3}+g_{t_7}+ng)\end{aligned}\tag{3.70}$$

for $f,g\in\mathcal{A}$.

4 Proof of Theorem 3 and Examples

In this section, we shall first give the proof of Theorem 3 and then present some related examples of simple Lie superalgebras.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 3

We assume that the conditions in Theorem 3 hold. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}]\\=\;&(\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta)-\varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\beta)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\alpha_0-\alpha)/2+(\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha)\\&-\varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha))/2)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}})_{[1]}+(i_1\varphi_2(\beta)-j_1\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varepsilon(j_1-i_1/2)\\&+i_1\varphi_2(\alpha_0)/2)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[1]}})_{[1]}+(i_1j_2-i_2j_1)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[1]}-1_{[2]}})_{[1]}\\&+(j_2\varphi_1(\alpha)-i_2\varphi_1(\beta)-i_2\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{i}+\vec{j}-1_{[2]}})_{[1]}\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

for $(\alpha,\vec{i}),(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}}$ by (1.26). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}[(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}]\;=\;&(\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta)-\varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\beta)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\alpha_0-\alpha)/2\\&+(\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha)-\varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha))/2)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}\\&+j_1(\varepsilon-\varphi_2(\alpha))(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[1]}})_{[1]}+j_2\varphi_1(\alpha)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[2]}})_{[1]}\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

for $\alpha\in\Gamma$ and $(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathcal{J}}$. When $\alpha=0$, we get

$$[1_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}]_2=\varepsilon(\varphi_1(\beta)+\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2)(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}+\varepsilon j_1(x^{\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[1]}})_{[1]}.\tag{4.3}$$

If $\varepsilon=1$ and $\mathcal{J}_1=\mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1=[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1]=\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1\tag{4.4}$$

by (4.3) and induction on j_1. Taking $\alpha\in\ker_{\varphi_2}\setminus\ker_{\varphi_1}$ in (4.2) when $\varepsilon=0$ and $\varphi_1\not\equiv 0$ by (1.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}[(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}]\;=\;&\varphi_1(\alpha)(\varphi_2(\beta)+\varphi_2(\alpha_0)/2)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}\\&+j_2\varphi_1(\alpha)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[2]}})_{[1]}\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

for $(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathscr{J}}$. If $\mathscr{J}_2=\mathbb{N}$, then we obtain (4.4) by (4.2) when $\mathscr{J}_1=\{0\}$ and by (4.5) when $\varepsilon=0$ and $\varphi_1\not\equiv 0$, and by induction on j_2. Consider the case that $\varepsilon=0,\ \varphi_2\not\equiv 0$ and $\mathscr{J}_1=\mathbb{N}$. Letting $\alpha\in\ker_{\varphi_1}\setminus\ker_{\varphi_2}$ in (4.2) by (1.29), we have

$$[(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}]=-\varphi_2(\alpha)[(\varphi_1(\beta)+\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2)(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}})_{[1]}+j_1(x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{j}-1_{[1]}})_{[1]}] \tag{4.6}$$

for $(\beta,\vec{j})\in\Gamma\times\vec{\mathscr{J}}$. Again we have (4.4) by (4.6) and induction on j_1. Suppose that $\varepsilon=0,\ \varphi_1\equiv\varphi_2\equiv 0$ and $\mathscr{J}_1=\mathscr{J}_2=\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$[(x^{0,1_{[1]}})_{[0]},(x^{0,\vec{j}})_{[1]}]=j_2(x^{0,\vec{j}-1_{[2]}})_{[1]} \tag{4.7}$$

for $\vec{j}\in\vec{J}$ by (4.1), which implies (4.4). Thus we have proved (4.4) holds when $\vec{\mathscr{J}}\neq\{\vec{0}\}$.

Assume that $\vec{\mathscr{J}}=\{\vec{0}\}$. By (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned}&[(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]]}]=[\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\beta)-\varphi_1(\beta)\varphi_2(\alpha)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\beta)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\alpha_0-\alpha)/2\\&+(\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha)-\varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha))/2](x^{\alpha+\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]}\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$. In particular,

$$[1_{[0]},(x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]}]=(\varepsilon\varphi_1(\beta)+\varepsilon\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2)(x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$[(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[0]},1_{[1]}]=\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon\varphi_1(\alpha_0-\alpha)+(\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha)-\varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha)))(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]}. \tag{4.10}$$

Suppose that $\varepsilon=0,\ \alpha_0\neq 0$ and (1.29) holds. By (4.10),

$$(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]}\in\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_1\qquad\text{for }\ \alpha\in\Gamma,\ \varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha)-\varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha)\neq 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Note that $\varphi_1\not\equiv 0$ and $\varphi_2\not\equiv 0$ by (1.6). For any $\beta,\tau\in\Gamma$ such that $\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\beta)-\varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\beta)=0$, we have

$$[(x_{[0]}^{-\tau+\beta,\vec{0}},(x^{\tau,\vec{0}})_{[1]}]=[(\varphi_1(\beta)+\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2)\varphi_2(\tau)-(\varphi_2(\beta)+\varphi_2(\alpha_0)/2)\varphi_1(\tau)](x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]}. \tag{4.12}$$

If

$$\varphi_1(\beta)+\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2\neq 0\ \text{ or }\ \varphi_2(\beta)+\varphi_2(\alpha_0)/2\neq 0, \tag{4.13}$$

then we can choose $\tau\in\Gamma$ such that

$$(\varphi_1(\beta)+\varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2)\varphi_2(\tau)-(\varphi_2(\beta)+\varphi_2(\alpha_0)/2)\varphi_1(\tau)\neq 0 \tag{4.14}$$

by (1.29) and have $(x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]}\in\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_1$. Thus we have

$$\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_1=\{(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]}\mid\alpha\in\Gamma,\ 2\alpha\neq-\alpha_0\}. \tag{4.15}$$

Next we assume that $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varphi_1(\alpha_0) = 0$. Then by (4.9),

$$(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \qquad \text{for } \alpha \in \Gamma,\ \varphi_1(\alpha) \neq 0. \tag{4.16}$$

For any $\beta \in \ker_{\varphi_1}$ and $\tau \in \Gamma$, we have

$$[(x^{-\tau+\beta,\vec{0}})_{[0]}, (x^{\tau,\vec{0}})_{[1]}] = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1(\tau)(3 - \varphi_2(\alpha_0) - 2\varphi_2(\beta))(x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \tag{4.17}$$

by (4.2). If $3 - \varphi_2(\alpha_0) - 2\varphi_2(\beta) \neq 0$, then we choose $\tau \in \ker_{\varphi_2} \setminus \ker_{\varphi_1}$ in (4.17) by (1.29) and have $(x^{\beta,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$. Thus we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \{(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma,\ \varphi_1(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ or } 2\varphi_2(\alpha) \neq 3 - \varphi_2(\alpha_0)\}. \tag{4.18}$$

Now we assume that $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varphi_1(\alpha_0) \neq 0$. By (4.9) and (4.10),

$$(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \ \text{ for } \ \alpha \in \Gamma,\ \varphi_1(\alpha) \neq -\frac{\varphi_1(\alpha_0)}{2} \ \text{ or } \ \varphi_2(\alpha) \neq \frac{3 - \varphi_2(\alpha_0)}{2}. \tag{4.19}$$

By (1.7), there exists at most one $\kappa \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\varphi_1(\kappa) = -\frac{\varphi_1(\alpha_0)}{2}, \qquad \varphi_2(\kappa) = \frac{3 - \varphi_2(\alpha_0)}{2}. \tag{4.20}$$

Assume that such κ exists. By (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[0]}, (x^{\kappa-\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]}] \\
= \ & [\varphi_1(\alpha)\varphi_2(\kappa) - \varphi_1(\kappa)\varphi_2(\alpha) + \varphi_1(\kappa - \alpha) + \varphi_1(\alpha_0 - \alpha)/2 \\
& + (\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha) - \varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha))/2](x^{\kappa,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \\
= \ & (\varphi_1(\alpha)(3 - \varphi_2(\alpha_0))/2 + \varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha)/2 - \varphi_1(\alpha_0)/2 - \varphi_1(\alpha) + \varphi_1(\alpha_0 - \alpha)/2 \\
& + (\varphi_2(\alpha_0)\varphi_1(\alpha) - \varphi_1(\alpha_0)\varphi_2(\alpha))/2)(x^{\kappa,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \\
= \ & 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \{(x^{\alpha,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma,\ \alpha \neq \kappa\}. \tag{4.22}$$

Therefore, the codimension of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is at most one.

By (1.26), $1_{[0]}$ is a central element of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ when $\varepsilon = 0$. Recall that σ_1 is an element of $\ker_{\varphi_1}$ satisfying $\varphi_2(\sigma_1) = 1$. When $\varepsilon = 1$, we have

$$[(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]}, v_{[1]}] = (1 - \varphi_2(\sigma_1))(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}\partial_1(v))_{[1]} + \frac{\varphi_1(\alpha_0)(1 - \varphi_2(\sigma_1))}{2}(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}v)_{[1]} = 0 \tag{4.23}$$

by (1.12) and (1.26). Expression (4.23) shows that $(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]}$ is a central element of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ when $\varepsilon = 1$. Thus (1.28) defines a quotient algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Let I be any ideal of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that I strictly contains $\mathbb{F}1_{[0]}$ when $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\mathbb{F}(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]}$ when $\varepsilon = 1$. To prove Theorem 3 is equivalent to proving $I = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

First we assume that $I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \neq \{0\}$. Note that

$$I \supset [I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1] \not\subset \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}} + \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_2,\vec{0}} \tag{4.24}$$

by (1.25), (4.4), (4.15), (4.18) and (4.22). Moreover, it is known that

$$\tilde{A}_0 \text{ is a simple Lie algebra when } \varphi_2 \equiv 0,\ \mathcal{J}_2 = \{0\} \text{ and } \varepsilon = 1 \tag{4.25}$$

(e.g., cf. Theorem 2.2 in [X4]) and

$$\tilde{A}_0/\mathbb{F}1_{[0]} \text{ forms a simple Lie algebra} \tag{4.26}$$

when $\varepsilon = 0$ by theorem 4.1 in [X4]. Thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 \subset I \qquad \text{if } \varepsilon = 0 \tag{4.27}$$

by (4.24) and (4.26). Moreover, by Section 2, (2.21) and (4.25), we have

$$(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})_{[0]} \in I \text{ for } (\sigma_2, \vec{0}) \neq (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}} \tag{4.28}$$

if $\varepsilon = 1$, where $\sigma_2 \in \ker_{\varphi_1}$ and $\varphi_2(\sigma_2) = 2$. Furthermore, by the arguments in (4.1)-(4.22), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \subset I. \tag{4.26}$$

Note that there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x^{(l+1)\sigma_2,\vec{0}})_{[1]} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ by (4.4), (4.15), (4.18) and (4.22). Hence, we have

$$[(x^{-l\sigma_2-\alpha_0,\vec{0}})_{[1]}, (x^{(l+1)\sigma_2,\vec{0}})_{[1]}] = x^{\sigma_2,\vec{0}} \in I \tag{4.30}$$

by (1.25). Thus $I = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

Next we assume

$$I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 \not\in \mathbb{F}1_{[0]} \text{ if } \varepsilon = 0 \tag{4.31}$$

and

$$I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 \not\in \mathbb{F}(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]} \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1. \tag{4.32}$$

Then we have (4.27) and (4.28) by Section 2, (4.25) and (2.26), which implies $I = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

Now we assume that $I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \{0\}$ and $I \not\subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$. Let $w = u_{[0]} + v_{[1]} \in I \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ with $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_2$. Then $v \neq 0$ and $u \not\in \mathbb{F}1_{[0]}$ when $\varepsilon = 0$ and $u \not\in \mathbb{F}(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]}$ when $\varepsilon = 1$. Since

$$[u_{[0]}, w] = [u_{[0]}, v_{[1]}] \in I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tag{4.33}$$

we have $[u_{[0]}, v_{[1]}] = 0$. Thus

$$[v_{[1]}, w] = [v_{[1]}, v_{[1]}] = (x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}v^2)_{[0]} \in I. \tag{4.34}$$

If $x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}v^2 \notin \mathbb{F}$ when $\varepsilon = 0$ and $x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}v^2 \notin \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$ when $\varepsilon = 1$ (which naturally holds if $\varphi_2 \equiv 0$), then we have (4.27) and (4.28) by Section 2, (4.25) and (4.26), which implies $I = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ that contradicts $I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \{0\}$.

Consider the case that $\varepsilon = 0$ and $x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}v^2 \in \mathbb{F}$. Up to a constant multiple, we can assume that

$$v = x^{-\alpha_0/2,\vec{0}}. \tag{4.35}$$

Note that by (4.1),

$$[(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})_{[0]}, (x^{-\alpha_0/2,\vec{0}})_{[1]}] = 0 \qquad \text{for any } (\vec{\alpha}, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}. \tag{4.36}$$

Thus

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, w] \in I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \;\; [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, w] \not\subset \mathbb{F}1_{[0]} \tag{4.37}$$

by (4.26), which leads to $I = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ that contradicts $I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \{0\}$.

Consider the case that $\varepsilon = 1$, $\varphi_2 \not\equiv 0$ and $x^{\alpha_0,\vec{0}}v^2 \in \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}}$. Up to a constant multiple, we can assume that

$$v = x^{(\sigma_1-\alpha_0)/2,\vec{0}}. \tag{4.38}$$

Note that

$$[(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})_{[0]}, (x^{(\sigma_1-\alpha_0)/2,\vec{0}})_{[1]}] = 0 \qquad \text{for any } (\vec{\alpha}, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times \vec{\mathcal{J}} \tag{4.39}$$

by (4.1). So we have

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, w] \in I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \;\; [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, w] \not\subset \mathbb{F}(x^{\sigma_1,\vec{0}})_{[0]} \tag{4.40}$$

by Section 2, which leads to $I = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ that contradicts $I \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \{0\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

4.2 Related Examples of Simple Lie Superalgebras

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the field of real numbers. By Theorem 3, we obtain the following examples of simple Lie superalgebras $(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ (cf. (1.21) and (1.22)).

Example 4.1. The space $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \mathbb{R}[t] \times \mathbb{R}[t]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f_{[0]}, g_{[0]}] = (fg' - f'g)_{[0]}, \;\; [f_{[1]}, g_{[1]}] = (fg)_{[0]}, \;\; [f_{[0]}, g_{[1]}] = (fg' - f'g/2)_{[1]} \tag{4.41}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t]$ (cf. (1.23)), where $f'=df/dt$.

Example 4.2. The space $\bar{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbb{R}[t,t^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t,t^{-1}]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=(tfg'-tf'g)_{[0]},\ [f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(tfg)_{[0]},\ [f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[tfg'+(f-tf')g/2]_{[1]} \tag{4.42}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t,t^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). In this case, the Lie superalgebra $(\bar{\mathcal{B}},[\cdot,\cdot])$ is the centerless super Virasoro algebra.

Example 4.3. The space $\bar{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[f_{t_1}(g_{t_2}-g)+(f-f_{t_2})g_{t_1}]_{[0]},\ \ [f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(fg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.43}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[f_{t_1}(g_{t_2}-g/2)+(f-f_{t_2})g_{t_1}]_{[1]} \tag{4.44}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)).

Example 4.4. Let n be an integer. The space $\bar{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_2})f-(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_2})g]_{[0]},\ \ [f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.45}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_2})f+(nf-t_1f_{t_1}-f_{t_2})g/2]_{[1]} \tag{4.46}$$

or

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[t_1f_{t_1}(g_{t_2}-g)+t_1(f-f_{t_2})g_{t_1}]_{[0]},\ \ [f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.47}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[t_1f_{t_1}(g_{t_2}-g/2)+(f-f_{t_2})(t_1g_{t_1}+ng/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.48}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}1_{[0]} \tag{4.49}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=(t_1f_{t_1}g_{t_2}-t_1f_{t_2}g_{t_1})_{[0]},\ \ [f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.50}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[t_1f_{t_1}g_{t_2}-f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+ng/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.51}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}(t_1)_{[0]} \tag{4.52}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}-g)+(f-t_1f_{t_1})g_{t_2}]_{[0]},\ \ [f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.53}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+(n-1)g/2)+(f-t_1f_{t_1})g_{t_2}]_{[1]}\tag{4.54}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)).

Example 4.5. Let n be an integer. The space $\bar{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}]$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})(g_{t_2}-g)+(f-f_{t_2})(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3})]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]},\tag{4.55}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})(g_{t_2}-g/2)+(f-f_{t_2})(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}+ng/2)]_{[1]}\tag{4.56}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}1_{[0]}\tag{4.57}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})g_{t_2}-f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3})]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]},\tag{4.58}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})g_{t_2}-f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}+ng/2)]_{[1]}\tag{4.59}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}(t_1)_{[0]}\tag{4.60}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}-g)+(f-t_1f_{t_1}-f_{t_3})g_{t_2}]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^nfg)_{[0]},\tag{4.61}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}+(n-1)g/2)+(f-t_1f_{t_1}-f_{t_3})g_{t_2}]_{[1]}\tag{4.62}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)).

Example 4.6. The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}1_{[0]}\tag{4.63}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[t_1t_2(f_{t_1}g_{t_2}-f_{t_2}g_{t_1})]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1t_2fg)_{[0]},\tag{4.64}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[t_1f_{t_1}(t_2g_{t_2}+g/2)-t_2f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g/2)]_{[1]}\tag{4.65}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}(t_2)_{[0]}\tag{4.66}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[t_1f_{t_1}(t_2g_{t_2}-g)+t_1(f-t_2f_{t_2})g_{t_1}]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=((t_1t_2)fg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.67}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[t_1t_2f_{t_1}g_{t_2}+(f-t_2f_{t_2})(t_1g_{t_1}+g/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.68}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)).

Example 4.7. Let m and n be an integer. The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}1_{[0]} \tag{4.69}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[t_2(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})g_{t_2}-t_2f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3})]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^mt_2^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.70}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})(t_2g_{t_2}+ng/2)-t_2f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}+mg/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.71}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}(t_1)_{[0]} \tag{4.72}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[t_2f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}-g)+t_2(f-t_1f_{t_1}-f_{t_3})g_{t_2}]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^mt_2^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.73}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[t_2f_{t_2}(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}+(m-1)g/2)+(f-t_1f_{t_1}-f_{t_3})(t_2g_{t_2}+ng/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.74}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]\times\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}])/\mathbb{R}(t_2)_{[0]} \tag{4.75}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[0]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})(t_2g_{t_2}-g)+(f-t_2f_{t_2})(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}]_{[0]},\;\;[f_{[1]},g_{[1]}]=(t_1^mt_2^nfg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.76}$$

$$[f_{[0]},g_{[1]}]=[(t_1f_{t_1}+f_{t_3})(t_2g_{t_2}+(n-1)g/2)+(f-t_2f_{t_2})(t_1g_{t_1}+g_{t_3}+mg/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.77}$$

for $f,g\in\mathbb{R}[t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}]$ (cf. (1.23)).

Example 4.8. Let k be a positive integer and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of

$$\mathbb{R}[t_1^{1/k},t_2^{1/k},t_3,t_4,t_1^{-1/k},t_2^{-1/k}] \tag{4.78}$$

generated by

$$\{t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,(t_1t_2)^{1/k},t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}\}. \tag{4.79}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}]$ when $k = 1$. Suppose that m and n are two fixed integers. The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}} = (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})/\mathbb{R}1_{[0]} \tag{4.80}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]}, g_{[0]}] = [(t_1 f_1 + f_{t_3})(t_2 g_{t_2} + g_{t_4}) - (t_2 f_{t_2} + f_{t_4})(t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3})]_{[0]}, \tag{4.81}$$

$$[f_{[1]}, g_{[1]}] = (t_1^m t_2^n fg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.82}$$

$$[f_{[0]}, g_{[1]}] = [(t_1 f_{t_1} + f_{t_3})(t_2 g_{t_2} + g_{t_4} + ng/2) - (t_2 f_{t_2} + f_{t_4})(t_1 g_{t_1} + mg/2)]_{[1]} \tag{4.83}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ (cf. (1.23)). The space

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}} = (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})/\mathbb{R}(t_2)_{[0]} \tag{4.84}$$

with its Lie bracket induced by

$$[f_{[0]}, g_{[0]}] = [(t_1 f_{t_1} + f_{t_3})(t_2 g_{t_2} + g_{t_4} - g) + (f - t_2 f_{t_2} - f_{t_4})(t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3})]_{[0]}, \tag{4.85}$$

$$[f_{[1]}, g_{[1]}] = ((t_1^m t_2^n) fg)_{[0]}, \tag{4.86}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [f_{[0]}, g_{[1]}] &= [(t_1 f_{t_1} + f_{t_3})(t_2 g_{t_2} + g_{t_4} + (n-1)g/2) + (f - t_2 f_{t_2} - f_{t_4}) \\ &\quad \times (t_1 g_{t_1} + g_{t_3} + mg/2)]_{[1]} \end{aligned} \tag{4.87}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ (cf. (1.23)).

Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for his/her pointing out some misprints and making suggestions of corrections.

References

[B] R. Block, On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 613-620.

[DZ] D. Dokovic and K. Zhao, Derivations, isomorphisms and second cohomology of generalized Block algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* **3** (1996), 245-272.

[X1] X, Xu, On simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules, *J. Algebra* **185** (1996), 905-934.

[X2] X. Xu, Novikov-Poisson algebras, *J. Algebra* **190** (1997), 253-279.

[X3] X. Xu, Quadratic conformal superalgebras, *submitted*.

[X4] X. Xu, New simple Lie algebras of generalized Cartan types over a field with characteristic 0, *J. Algebra*, to appear.

[X5] X. Xu, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Their Modules*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.

[X6] X. Xu, *Algebraic Theory of Hamiltonian Superoperators*, to appear.